Fa-Bao Li,<sup>†,‡</sup> Xun You,<sup>†</sup> and Guan-Wu Wang<sup>\*,†,§</sup>

<sup>†</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Soft Matter Chemistry, Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at Microscale, and Department of Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China

<sup>‡</sup>Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for the Synthesis and Application of Organic Functional Molecules and School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, P. R. China

<sup>8</sup>State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, P. R. China

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** 1,2-Fullerenols  $C_{60}(OCOR)(OH)$  have been facilely synthesized via the one-step reaction of [60] fullerene with acid chlorides promoted by ferric perchlorate. A possible reaction mechanism for the product formation is proposed.

 $\mathbf{F}$  unctionalization of [60]fullerene (C<sub>60</sub>) leading to a large number of fascinating fullerene derivatives with wide structural diversity is the essential issue in fullerene chemistry.<sup>1</sup> Fullerenols with hydroxy group(s) attached to the fullerene cage were one of the first reported fullerene compounds and exhibited biological activities.<sup>2</sup> Mixtures of polyhydroxylated fullerenols  $C_{60}(OH)_n$  were usually synthesized by utilizing nitronium chemistry,<sup>3</sup> aqueous acid reaction,<sup>4</sup> or aqueous base reaction.<sup>5</sup> They could also be produced by the reaction with oleum,<sup>6</sup> nitrogen dioxide radical,<sup>7</sup> or BH<sub>3</sub><sup>8</sup> followed by hydrolysis. Fullerenols with multiple addends were prepared by the reaction of C<sub>70</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub> with benzene/FeCl<sub>3</sub>,<sup>9</sup> the reaction of  $C_{60}Cl_6$  with methyllithium followed by hydrolysis,<sup>10</sup> the reaction of  $C_{60}$  with methyllithium,<sup>11</sup> or the transformation of fullerene peroxides containing multiple OO<sup>t</sup>Bu groups.<sup>12</sup> The simplest fullerene diols  $C_{60}(OH)_2$  and  $C_{70}(OH)_2$  could be synthesized by the reaction of C<sub>60</sub> and C<sub>70</sub> with RuO<sub>4</sub> followed by acid hydrolysis.<sup>13</sup> The synthesis of monohydroxylated fullerenols with the general form of C60ROH is relatively underdeveloped, and only a few such compounds have been prepared by the reaction of  $C_{60}$  with  $(R_FCO_2)O_1^{14}$  the N-O bond cleavage of [60]fullereno[1,2d]isoxazole,<sup>15</sup> the hydrolysis of chlorofullerenes,<sup>16</sup> nucleophilic substitution of C<sub>60</sub>O in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O,<sup>17</sup> the aminolysis of a  $C_{60}$ -fused lactone,<sup>18</sup> the reaction of C<sub>60</sub> with water catalyzed with Cp<sub>2</sub>MCl<sub>2</sub>,<sup>19</sup> or the reaction of  $C_{60}$  with 4-substituted phenylhydrazine hydro-chlorides in the presence of NaNO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>20</sup> Among the reported monohydroxylated fullerenols ( $C_{60}ROH$ ), only five of them were formed in a 1,2-addition mode.<sup>15,17–19</sup> In most cases, 1,2- $C_{60}$ ROH were obtained by a two-step reaction<sup>15,17,18</sup> starting from  $C_{60}$ . Therefore, it is still important to develop a simple and efficient method to obtain the 1,2-addition fullerenols (1,2- $C_{60}$ ROH) with different functional groups via a one-step process from C<sub>60</sub>.

 $\frac{1}{10}$   $+ \frac{0}{R}$   $+ \frac{1}{R}$   $+ \frac{1$ 

Radical reactions of fullerenes promoted by transition-metal salts<sup>21</sup> such as  $Mn(OAc)_{3}$ ,<sup>22</sup>  $Cu(OAc)_{2}$ ,<sup>22d,23</sup>  $Pb(OAc)_{4}$ ,<sup>22i,24</sup> and TBADT[ $(n-Bu_4N)_4W_{10}O_{32}$ ]<sup>25</sup> have attracted extensive attention. In efforts to extend the transition-metal-salt-mediated radical reactions of fullerenes, our group recently investigated the reactions of  $C_{60}$  promoted by cheap and easily available  $Fe(CIO_4)_3$ . The  $Fe(CIO_4)_3$ -mediated reactions of  $C_{60}$  with nitriles,<sup>26a</sup> aldehydes/ketones,<sup>26b</sup> malonate esters,<sup>26c</sup> arylboronic acids,<sup>26d</sup> and  $\beta$ -keto esters<sup>26e</sup> afforded  $C_{60}$ -fused oxazoles,  $C_{60}$ -fused 1,3-dioxolanes,  $C_{60}$ -fused disubstituted lactones, fullerenyl boronic esters, and  $C_{60}$ -fused hemiketal and dihydrofuran, respectively. In continuation of our interest in  $Fe(CIO_4)_3$ -mediated one-step reaction of  $C_{60}$  with acid chlorides to afford monohydroxylated fullerenols 1,2- $C_{60}(OCOR)(OH)$ .<sup>27</sup>

Initially, the reaction of  $C_{60}$  with 4-toluoyl chloride (1a) in the presence of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$  by employing the direct dissolution method<sup>26a,b</sup> was screened to obtain the optimized reaction conditions. A mixture of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$   $\cdot 6H_2O$  (0.15 mmol) and 1a (2.5 mmol) was first heated in an oil bath preset at 60 °C for 20 min to allow ferric perchlorate to dissolve in the liquid acid chloride. Then an o-dichlorobenzene (ODCB, 6 mL) solution of  $C_{60}$  (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was heated with vigorous stirring at the same temperature under nitrogen atmosphere for 25 min. Much to our satisfaction, the reaction was found to proceed well and gave fullerenol 2a in 47% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). Other reaction conditions were also examined, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Reducing the reaction time drastically reduced the yield of 2a (Table 1, entry 2). Increasing the reaction temperature did not improve the yield of 2a (Table 1,

**Received:** June 13, 2012 **Published:** July 17, 2012 Note

Table 1. Reaction Conditions for the  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ -Mediated Reaction of  $C_{60}$  with 4-Toluoyl Chloride  $1a^a$ 



<sup>*a*</sup>All reactions were performed under nitrogen atmosphere by the direct dissolution of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  in 1a. <sup>*b*</sup>FEP =  $Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ . <sup>*c*</sup>Isolated yield.

entry 3). No improvement could be achieved by varying the amount of 4-toluoyl chloride and  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$  (Table 1, entries 4–9). Thus, the molar ratio of 1:3:50 for the reagents  $C_{60}$ ,  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ , and **1a** together with the reaction temperature of 60 °C were chosen as the optimized reaction conditions.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, other representative acid chlorides such as benzoyl chloride (1b), 4-methoxybenzoyl chloride (1c), 4-chlorobenzoyl chloride (1d), 2-chlorobenzoyl chloride (1e), and cinnamoyl chloride (1f) were employed as the substrates to obtain the desired 1,2-fullerenols 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e, and 2f, respectively. The reaction conditions and yields for the Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>-mediated reaction of  $C_{60}$  with acid chlorides 1a-f under nitrogen atmosphere are listed in Table 2.

As can be seen from Table 2, aromatic acid chlorides 1a-e with both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups as well as cinnamoyl chloride 1f could be successfully utilized to prepare 1,2-fullerenols 2a-f in 17-47% isolated yields (24-90% based on consumed  $C_{60}$ ), comparable to the previously reported data for most monoadducts. It should be noted that for the  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ -mediated reaction of  $C_{60}$  with cinnamoyl chloride 1f, a C<sub>60</sub>-fused lactone 3 (Scheme 1) was also obtained in 26% yield besides the expected 1,2-fullerenol 2f. Unfortunately, the reaction of C<sub>60</sub> with 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride bearing the strong electron-withdrawing NO2 group afforded mainly some unknown byproducts probably due to the higher reactivity of 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride. It should be pointed out that the use of 3 equiv of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$  for the reaction with 1e led to a significant amount of byproducts with polarity similar to  $C_{60}$ , and thus, 1 equiv of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$  was required to improve the yield and selectivity. The synthesized 1,2-fullerenols 2a-f can be further manipulated through esterification, etherification and arylation, as demonstrated previously by us for analogous fullerenols.<sup>20</sup>

The structures of fullerenols 2a-f were fully characterized by HR MS, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, FT-IR, and UV-vis spectra. All of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra exhibited the expected chemical shifts as well as the splitting patterns for all protons. In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 2a-f, the peak for the C=O carbon appeared at 164.19–169.43 ppm, and the two sp<sup>3</sup>-carbons of the C<sub>60</sub>

Table 2. Reaction Conditions and Yields for the Reaction of  $C_{60}$  with Acid Chlorides 1a-f in the Presence of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3^a$ 



 1a, 2a: R = 4-CH<sub>3</sub>-Ph;
 1b, 2b: R = Ph;
 1c, 2c: R = 4-CH<sub>3</sub>O-Ph;

 1d, 2d: R = 4-CI-Ph;
 1e, 2e: R = 2-CI-Ph;
 1f, 2f: R = PhCH=CH

acid chloride 1	reaction time (min)	yield of $2^b$
CH <sub>3</sub> -COCI 1a	25	47% (90%)
	10	42% (89%)
	40	23% (41%)
CI-COCI 1d	20	24% (24%)
	$20^c$	24% (67%)
	20	17% (35%)

<sup>*a*</sup>Unless otherwise indicated, all reactions were performed at 60 °C under nitrogen atmosphere, molar ratio of  $C_{60}/Fe(ClO_4)_3$ · $6H_2O/1 = 1:3:50$ . <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yield; that in parentheses was based on consumed  $C_{60}$ ·<sup>*c*</sup>Molar ratio of  $C_{60}/Fe(ClO_4)_3$ · $6H_2O/1e = 1:1:50$ .

Scheme 1.  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ -Mediated Reaction of  $C_{60}$  with Acid Chloride 1f Affording Fullerenol 2f and  $C_{60}$ -Fused Lactone 3



skeleton were located at 87.56-89.58 ppm and 83.10-85.08 ppm, close to those of other 1,2-adduct fullerene derivatives, in which the oxygen atom is connected to the  $C_{60}$  skel-eton.<sup>18,22h-j,26c</sup> No more than 29 peaks including some overlapped ones for the 58 sp<sup>2</sup>-carbons of the C<sub>60</sub> moiety were observed in the range of 135-153 ppm, consistent with the  $C_s$  symmetry of their molecular structures. As for lactone 3, its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum displayed a singlet at 8.72 ppm for the proton connecting to the carbon-carbon double bond moiety besides those signals for the phenyl ring. In its <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, there were 23 peaks including some overlapped ones in the 135–152 ppm range for the 58 sp<sup>2</sup>-carbons of the  $C_{60}$ skeleton and two peaks at 96.63 and 64.90 ppm for the two sp<sup>3</sup>carbons of the  $C_{60}$  moiety, agreeing with its  $C_s$  symmetry. The IR spectrum of lactone 3 showed an absorption at 1768 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to the C=O group. Its UV-vis spectrum exhibited a peak at 416 nm. The peak at around 420 nm is a diagnostic absorption for the 1,2-adduct of  $C_{60}$ , in which the oxygen atom is directly attached to the fullerene skeleton.<sup>22h-j,26c</sup>

On the basis of the previously suggested mechanisms for the reactions of  $C_{60}$  with nitriles, <sup>26a</sup> aldehydes/ketones, <sup>26b</sup> malonate esters, <sup>26c</sup> arylboronic acids, <sup>26d</sup> and  $\beta$ -keto esters <sup>26e</sup> in the presence of Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> as well as the reaction of  $C_{60}$  with carboxylic acids promoted by Pb(OAc)<sub>4</sub>, <sup>22i</sup> we propose a possible mechanism for the formation of fullerenols **2a**–f and  $C_{60}$ -fused lactone **3** from the Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>-mediated reaction of  $C_{60}$  with acid chlorides (Scheme 2). A chosen acid chloride

# Scheme 2. Proposed Reaction Mechanism for the Formation of Fullerenols 2 and $C_{60}$ -Fused Lactone 3



reacts with the hydrated  $H_2O$  in  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ · $6H_2O$  or concomitant water in the system to produce Fe(III) complex 4 accompanied by the elimination of  $HClO_4$ . Addition of complex 4 to  $C_{60}$  generates fullerenyl radical 5 accompanied by the formation of  $Fe(ClO_4)_2$  and HCl. Nucleophilic addition of  $H_2O$  to fullerenyl radical 5 with the loss of  $H^+$  gives radical anion  $2^{\bullet-,20,22i}$  followed by oxidation with another molecule of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$  to afford fullerenol 2. In the case of  $C_{60}$ -fused lactone 3, the first two steps are the same as those for fullerenol 2 to generate fullerene radical 5, which can undergo intramolecular cyclization to give radical 6. Oxidation of radical 6 by a second molecule of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$  results in cation 7 along with counteranion  $ClO_4^-$  and  $Fe(ClO_4)_2$ . Loss of  $H^+$  from cation 7 leads to the formation of  $C_{60}$ -fused lactone 3.

In summary, 1,2-fullerenols  $C_{60}(OCOR)(OH)$  have been effectively prepared via the reaction of  $C_{60}$  with acid chlorides in the presence of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ , and they can be utilized as precursors for further functionalization such as esterification, etherification and arylation. The current protocol provides facile access to 1,2-fullerenol derivatives via a one-step procedure by using cheap and easily available acid chlorides and  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ . A possible reaction mechanism for the formation of 1,2-fullerenols **2** and  $C_{60}$ -fused lactone **3** has been suggested.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for the  $Fe(CIO_4)_3$ -Mediated Reaction of  $C_{60}$  with Acid Chlorides 1a–f. A mixture of acid chloride 1a (1b–f,

2.5 mmol) and ferric perchlorate hexahydrate (0.15 mmol, 0.05 mmol in case of 1e) was added to a 50-mL round-bottom flask, which was equipped with a reflux condenser, nitrogen inlet and outlet, and a magnetic stirrer. The mixture was heated in an oil bath preset at 60 °C for 20 min to allow ferric perchlorate to dissolve in the liquid acid chloride. Then to the flask was added the *o*-dichlorobenzene (6 mL) solution of C<sub>60</sub> (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol). The resulting solution was heated with vigorous stirring in the oil bath at the same temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was carefully monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and stopped at the designated time. After acetic acid (1 mL) was added to the reaction solution, the resulting mixture was directly separated on a silica gel column with carbon disulfide/toluene as the eluent. Fullerenol 2a (2b-f) was obtained along with unreacted C<sub>60</sub>.

Fullerenol 2a. According to the general procedure, the reaction of  $C_{60}$  (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1a (331  $\mu$ L, 2.5 mmol) and  $Fe(ClO_4)_3{\cdot}6H_2O$  (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 25 min afforded first recovered  $C_{60}$  (17.1 mg, 48%) and then 2a (20.3 mg, 47%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  8.37 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.21 (s, 1H), 2.53 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/CDCl<sub>3</sub> with Cr(acac)<sub>3</sub> as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated)  $\delta$  169.43 (1C, C=O), 151.15, 148.59 (1C), 148.48 (1C), 147.63, 146.49 (4C), 146.20, 146.12 (4C), 145.92, 145.46, 145.18 (4C), 145.09 (1C, aryl C), 144.87, 144.84, 144.64, 144.60, 142.59 (4C), 142.46, 142.31, 142.22, 141.54, 141.50, 141.37 (4C), 139.65, 139.14, 138.57, 136.42, 130.68 (aryl C), 129.45 (aryl C), 126.52 (1C, aryl C), 89.04 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of  $C_{60}$ ), 85.08 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of  $C_{60}$ ), 21.93 (1C); FT-IR  $\nu/cm^{-1}$  (KBr) 2921, 1702, 1611, 1432, 1274, 1179, 1091, 1035, 994, 922, 832, 748, 576, 526; UV-vis (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{max}/nm$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 256 (5.28), 318 (4.74), 416 (3.84), 685 (3.45); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for C<sub>68</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M<sup>-</sup>] 872.0473, found 872.0472.

Fullerenol 2b. According to the general procedure, the reaction of C<sub>60</sub> (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1b (290 µL, 2.5 mmol) and  $Fe(ClO_4)_3{\cdot}6H_2O$  (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 10 min afforded first recovered  $C_{60}$  (18.9 mg, 53%) and then 2b (18.2 mg, 42%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/ DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.53 (s, 1H), 8.42 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.67 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 8.48 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 5.16 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> with Cr(acac)<sub>3</sub> as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated)  $\delta$  164.91 (1C, C=O), 152.40, 148.47, 147.51 (1C), 147.45 (1C), 145.46, 145.40, 145.08 (6C), 144.72 (4C), 144.51, 144.13 (4C), 144.05 (4C), 143.75, 141.71, 141.57, 141.42, 141.29 (4C), 140.71, 140.51 (4C), 140.38, 138.35, 137.99, 137.91, 135.37, 132.25 (1C, aryl C), 129.72 (1C, aryl C), 129.52 (aryl C), 127.71 (aryl C), 87.70 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>), 83.10 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>); FT-IR  $\nu$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 2920, 1703, 1428, 1358, 1270, 1179, 1089, 1028, 993, 703, 526; UV-vis (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{max}/nm$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 256 (5.13), 318 (4.70), 417 (3.62), 685 (2.43); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for  $C_{67}H_6O_3$  [M<sup>-</sup>] 858.0317, found 858.0313.

Fullerenol 2c. According to the general procedure, the reaction of C<sub>60</sub> (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1c (427 mg, 2.5 mmol) and  $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ ·6H<sub>2</sub>O (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 40 min afforded first recovered  $C_{60}$  (15.9 mg, 44%) and then 2c (10.0 mg, 23%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/ DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.44 (s, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> with  $Cr(acac)_3$  as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated)  $\delta$  164.80 (1C, C=O), 162.22 (1C, aryl C), 152.63, 148.91, 147.66 (1C), 147.65 (1C), 145.60, 145.53, 145.23 (6C), 144.90, 144.85, 144.70, 144.27 (6C), 144.18, 143.92, 141.87, 141.72, 141.57, 141.44 (4C), 140.86, 140.65 (4C), 140.36, 138.49, 138.14, 138.00, 135.55, 131.67 (aryl C), 122.02 (1C, aryl C), 113.13 (aryl C), 87.56 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>), 83.28 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>), 54.59 (1C); FT-IR  $\nu/cm^{-1}$  (KBr) 2925, 1704, 1605, 1510, 1460, 1328, 1263, 1167, 1099, 1035, 996, 840, 766, 526; UV-vis (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{max}$ /nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 256 (5.04), 318 (4.60), 417 (3.66), 685 (3.31); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for  $C_{68}H_8O_4$  [M<sup>-</sup>] 888.0423, found 888.0422.

# The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Fullerenol 2d. According to the general procedure, the reaction of C<sub>60</sub> (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1d (318 µL, 2.5 mmol) and Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 20 min afforded first a trace amount of recovered  $C_{60}$  and then 2d (10.6 mg, 24%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/ DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.63 (s, 1H), 8.41 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz,  $CS_2/DMSO-d_6$  with  $Cr(acac)_3$  as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated)  $\delta$  164.19 (1C, C=O), 152.33, 148.26, 147.58 (1C), 147.51 (1C), 145.53, 145.46, 145.21, 145.15 (4C), 144.77 (4C), 144.53, 144.18 (4C), 144.06 (4C), 143.79, 141.77, 141.64, 141.49, 141.34 (4C), 140.75, 140.57 (4C), 140.40, 138.67 (1C, aryl C), 138.43, 138.05, 137.98, 135.40, 131.12 (aryl C), 128.32 (1C, aryl C), 128.03 (aryl C), 87.94 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>), 83.16 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>); FT-IR  $\nu/cm^{-1}$  (KBr) 2923, 1708, 1594, 1428, 1401, 1270, 1091, 1041, 1016, 992, 847, 754, 527; UV-vis (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 256 (5.12), 317 (4.65), 416 (3.85), 685 (3.42); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for C<sub>67</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sup>35</sup>ClO<sub>3</sub> [M<sup>-</sup>] 891.9927, found 891.9932.

Fullerenol 2e. According to the general procedure, the reaction of  $C_{60}$  (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1e (317  $\mu$ L, 2.5 mmol) and Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (23.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) for 20 min afforded first recovered  $C_{60}$  (23.1 mg, 64%) and then 2e (10.6 mg, 24%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  8.42 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.49 (m, 3H), 5.14 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/CDCl<sub>3</sub> with Cr(acac)<sub>3</sub> as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated) & 167.89 (1C, C=O), 150.82, 148.66 (1C), 148.56 (1C), 147.23, 146.55 (4C), 146.29, 146.20 (4C), 146.00, 145.49, 145.25 (4C), 145.00, 144.90, 144.63 (4C), 142.65 (4C), 142.53, 142.35, 142.27, 141.59 (4C), 141.38 (4C), 139.72, 139.25, 138.63, 136.54, 134.98 (1C, aryl C), 133.64 (1C, aryl C), 132.66 (1C, aryl C), 131.54 (1C, aryl C), 128.95 (1C, aryl C), 126.91 (1C, aryl C), 89.58 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>), 84.96 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>); FT-IR  $\nu/cm^{-1}$ (KBr) 2922, 1701, 1590, 1467, 1431, 1357, 1291, 1244, 1102, 1041, 988, 743, 525; UV–vis (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 256 (5.04), 317 (4.60), 416 (3.75), 685 (3.36); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for C<sub>67</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sup>35</sup>ClO<sub>3</sub> [M<sup>-</sup>] 891.9927, found 891.9921.

Fullerenol 2f and C<sub>60</sub>-Fused Lactone 3. According to the general procedure, the reaction of  $C_{60}$  (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1f (416 mg, 2.5 mmol) and Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 20 min afforded first recovered  $C_{60}$  (18.8 mg, 52%), then 3 (11.2 mg, 26%) and 2f (7.4 mg, 17%). 2f: amorphous black solid; mp >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz,  $CS_2/CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  8.17 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.69– 7.66 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.43 (m, 3H), 6.94 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/CDCl<sub>3</sub> with Cr(acac)<sub>3</sub> as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated) δ 169.49 (1C, C=O), 151.04, 148.46 (1C), 148.37 (1C), 147.62 (1C, CH-Ph), 147.51, 146.36 (4C), 146.07, 146.00 (4C), 145.80, 145.34, 145.06 (4C), 144.97, 144.76, 144.51 (4C), 142.48 (4C), 142.35, 142.20, 142.11, 141.42, 141.38, 141.25 (4C), 139.54, 139.02, 138.37, 136.28, 133.90 (1C, aryl C), 130.87 (1C, aryl C), 128.93 (aryl C), 128.42 (aryl C), 116.81 (1C, CH-CO), 88.74 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>), 84.97 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>); FT-IR  $\nu/\text{cm}^{-1}$  (KBr) 2920, 1696, 1632, 1447, 1432, 1329, 1311, 1269, 1202, 1169, 1147, 1101, 1035, 1013, 976, 918, 860, 755, 708, 598, 575, 526; UV-vis (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{max}$ /nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 256 (4.94), 318 (4.68), 418 (3.50), 688 (2.34); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for  $C_{69}H_8O_3$  [M<sup>-</sup>] 884.0473, found 884.0475. 3: amorphous black solid; mp >300 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz,  $CS_2/CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  8.72 (s, 1H), 7.36–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.19-7.16 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CS<sub>2</sub>/CDCl<sub>3</sub> with Cr(acac)<sub>3</sub> as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated)  $\delta$  168.72 (1C, C=O), 151.17, 147.95 (1C), 147.42 (1C), 146.39, 146.26 (1C, CH-Ph), 145.99 (8C), 145.56, 145.48, 145.33, 145.18, 145.09, 145.03, 144.51, 144.33 (4C), 142.61 (6C), 142.14 (4C), 142.04, 141.83, 141.16, 140.98, 139.84, 138.28, 137.16, 135.17, 132.62 (1C, aryl C), 128.83 (1C, aryl C), 128.26 (aryl C), 128.15 (aryl C), 127.87 (1C, CH-CO), 96.63 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>), 64.90 (1C, sp<sup>3</sup>-C of C<sub>60</sub>); FT-IR  $\nu/cm^{-1}$ (KBr) 2921, 1768, 1506, 1435, 1238, 1194, 1164, 998, 970, 967, 741, 694, 595, 575, 526; UV–vis (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{max}$ /nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 256 (4.97), 317 (4.62), 416 (3.50), 682 (2.28); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for C<sub>69</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>-</sup>] 866.0368, found 866.0365.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

# **S** Supporting Information

<sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of products **2a-f** and **3**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: gwang@ustc.edu.cn.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21132007, 20972145, 21102041), National Basic Research Program of China (2011CB921402), and Scientific Research Foundation of Education Commission of Hubei Province (Q20120113).

# REFERENCES

(1) For selected recent reviews, see: (a) Giacalone, F.; Martín, N. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 5136. (b) Matsuo, Y.; Nakamura, E. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3016. (c) Murata, M.; Murata, Y.; Komatsu, K. Chem. Commun. 2008, 6083.

(2) For an example, see: Lu, L.-H.; Lee, Y.-T.; Chen, H.-W.; Chiang, L. Y.; Huang, H.-C. Br. J. Pharmacol. **1998**, 123, 1097.

(3) Chiang, L. Y.; Upasani, R. B.; Swirczewski, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10154.

(4) (a) Chiang, L. Y.; Swirczewski, J. W.; Hsu, C. S.; Chowdhury, S. K.; Cameron, S.; Creegan, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 1791. (b) Chiang, L. Y.; Upasani, R. B.; Swirczewski, J. W.; Soled, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5453.

(5) Li, J.; Takeuchi, A.; Ozawa, M.; Li, X.; Saigo, K.; Kitazawa, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1784.

(6) (a) Chiang, L. Y.; Wang, L.-Y.; Swirczewski, J. W.; Soled, S.; Cameron, S. J. Org. Chem. **1994**, 59, 3960. (b) Chen, B.-H.; Huang, J.-P.; Wang, L.-Y.; Shiea, J.; Chen, T.-L.; Chiang, L. Y. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1998**, 1171.

(7) Chiang, L. Y.; Bhonsle, J. B.; Wang, L.-Y.; Shu, S. F.; Chang, T. M.; Hwu, J. R. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 4963.

(8) Schneider, N. S.; Darwish, A. D.; Kroto, H. W.; Taylor, R.; Walton, D. R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 463.

(9) Birkett, P. R.; Avent, A. G.; Darwish, A. D.; Kroto, H. W.; Taylor, R.; Walton, D. R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 10, 1231.

(10) Al-Matar, H.; Abdul-Sada, A. K.; Avent, A. G.; Fowler, P. W.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Rogers, K. M.; Taylor, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2002, 53.

(11) Al-Matar, H.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Avent, A. G.; Taylor, R. Chem. Commun. 2000, 35, 1071.

(12) For selected examples, see: (a) Xiao, Z.; Yao, J.; Yang, D.; Wang, F.; Huang, S.; Gan, L.; Jia, Z.; Jiang, Z.; Yang, X.; Zheng, B.; Yuan, G.; Zhang, S.; Wang, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, 129, 16149. (b) Zhang, G.; Liu, Y.; Liang, D.; Gan, L.; Li, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 5293.

(13) Meier, M. S.; Kiegiel, J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1717.

(14) Yoshida, M.; Morinaga, Y.; Iyoda, M.; Kikuchi, K.; Ikemodo, I.; Achiba, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 7629.

(15) Irngartinger, H.; Weber, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2075.

(16) Kitagawa, T.; Sakamoto, H.; Takeuchi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4298.

(17) Tajima, Y.; Hara, T.; Honma, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Takeuchi, K. Org. Lett. **2006**, *8*, 3203.

(18) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B.; Xu, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4774.

(19) Tuktarov, A. R.; Akhmetov, A. R.; Pudas, M.; Ibragimov, A. G.; Dzhemilev, U. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 808.

(20) Wang, G.-W.; Lu, Y.-M.; Chen, Z.-X. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1507.

# The Journal of Organic Chemistry

(21) For reviews, see: (a) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B. J. Nanosci. Nanotech. 2007, 7, 1162. (b) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B. Curr. Org. Chem. 2012, 16, 1109.

(22) (a) Zhang, T.-H.; Lu, P.; Wang, F.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 4403. (b) Wang, G.-W.; Zhang, T.-H.; Cheng, X.; Wang, F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 1160. (c) Li, C.; Zhang, D.; Zhang, X.; Wu, S.; Gao, X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 3464. (d) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 794. (e) Chen, Z.-X.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2380. (f) Cheng, X.; Wang, G.-W.; Murata, Y.; Komatsu, K. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2005, 16, 1327. (g) Wang, G.-W.; Yang, H.-T.; Miao, C.-B.; Xu, Y.; Liu, F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2595. (h) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B.; Zhang, T.-H. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1355. (i) Li, F.-B.; Liu, T.-X.; Huang, Y.-S.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 7743. (j) Li, F.-B.; Zhu, S.-E; Wang, G.-W. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2010, 55, 2909. (k) Wang, G.-W.; Wang, C.-Z.; Zhu, S.-E; Murata, Y. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6111. (l) Wang, G.-W.; Wang, C.-Z.; Zou, J.-P. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6088. (m) Liu, T.-X.; Li, F.-B.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6130.

(23) Lu, S.; Jin, T.; Kwon, E.; Bao, M.; Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2012, 51, 802.

(24) Chuang, S.-C.; Clemente, F. R.; Khan, S. I.; Houk, K. N.; Rubin, Y. Org. Lett. **2006**, *8*, 4525.

(25) (a) Tzirakis, M. D.; Orfanopoulos, M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 873.
(b) Tzirakis, M. D.; Orfanopoulos, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4063.

(26) (a) Li, F.-B.; Liu, T.-X.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6417. (b) Li, F.-B.; Liu, T.-X.; You, X.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3258. (c) Li, F.-B.; You, X.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4896. (d) Li, F.-B.; You, X.; Liu, T.-X.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1800. (e) Li, F.-B.; Zhu, S.-E; You, X.; Wang, G.-W. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2012, 57, 2269.

(27) After our work had been completed, independent work on the FeCl<sub>3</sub>-mediated synthesis of fullerenyl esters was published; see: Hashiguchi, M.; Obata, N.; Maruyama, M.; Yeo, K. S.; Ueno, T.; Ikebe, T.; Takahashi, I.; Matsuo, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 3276.