Fa-Bao Li, $\phi^{\dagger,\ddagger}$ Xun You, ϕ^{\dagger} and Guan-Wu Wang*, $\phi^{\dagger,\delta}$

[†]CAS Key Laboratory of Soft Matter Chemistry, Hefei N[atio](#page-3-0)nal Laboratory for Physical Sciences at Microscale, and Department of Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China

‡ Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for the Synthesis and Application of Organic Functional Molecules and School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, P. R. China

§ State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, P. R. China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-3-0)STRACT: [1,2-Fullerenol](#page-3-0)s $C_{60}(OCOR)(OH)$ have been facilely synthesized via the one-step reaction of $[60]$ fullerene with acid chlorides promoted by ferric perchlorate. A possible reaction mechanism for the product formation is proposed.

Functionalization of [60] fullerene (C₆₀) leading to a large number of fascinating fullerene derivatives with wide structural diversity is the essential issue in fullerene chemistry.¹ Fullerenols with hydroxy group(s) attached to the fullerene cage were one of the first reported fullerene compounds an[d](#page-3-0) exhibited biological activities.² Mixtures of polyhydroxylated fullerenols $\mathrm{C}_{60}(\mathrm{OH})_{n}$ were usually synthesized by utilizing nitronium chemi[s](#page-3-0)try, 3 aqueous acid reaction, 4 or aqueous base reaction.⁵ They could also be produced by the reaction with oleum, 6 nitrogen [di](#page-3-0)oxide radical, 7 or BH_3^8 BH_3^8 BH_3^8 followed by hydrolys[is](#page-3-0). Fullerenols with multiple addends were prepared by the [r](#page-3-0)eaction of $C_{70}Cl_{10}$ with b[en](#page-3-0)zene/Fe Cl_{3}^9 Cl_{3}^9 the reaction of $C_{60}Cl_6$ with methyllithium followed by hydrolysis,¹⁰ the reaction of C_{60} with methyllithium,¹¹ or the t[ra](#page-3-0)nsformation of fullerene peroxides containing multiple OO^tBu groups.^{[12](#page-3-0)} The simplest fullerene diols $C_{60}(OH)_{2}$ [a](#page-3-0)nd $C_{70}(OH)_{2}$ could be synthesized by the reaction of C_{60} and C_{70} with $RuO₄$ f[ollo](#page-3-0)wed by acid hydrolysis.¹³ The synthesis of monohydroxylated fullerenols with the general form of C_{60} ROH is relatively underdeveloped, an[d](#page-3-0) only a few such compounds have been prepared by the reaction of C_{60} with $(R_FCO_2)O₁¹⁴$ the N–O bond cleavage of $[60]$ fullereno $[1,2d]$ isoxazole,¹⁵ the hydrolysis of chlorofullerenes,¹⁶ nucleophilic substitution of C_{60} O in the presence of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O, ^{17}$ the aminolysis of a C_{60} -fused lactone,¹⁸ the reaction of C_{60} [wi](#page-3-0)th water catalyzed with $\mathrm{Cp}_2\mathrm{MCl}_2^{19}$ or the reaction of C_{60} wit[h](#page-3-0) 4-substituted phenylhydrazine hydr[o](#page-3-0)chlorides in the presence of NaNO_2 .²⁰ Among the [re](#page-3-0)ported monohydroxylated fullerenols $(C_{60}$ ROH), only five of them were formed in a 1,2-addition mode.^{15[,17](#page-3-0)-19} In most cases, 1,2- C_{60} ROH were obtained by a two-step reaction^{15,17,18} starting from C_{60} . Therefore, it is still import[ant to de](#page-3-0)velop a simple and efficient method to obtain the 1,2-addition f[ullereno](#page-3-0)ls (1,2- C_{60} ROH) with different functional groups via a one-step process from C_{60} .

Radical reactions of fullerenes promoted by transition-metal salts²¹ such as $Mn(OAc)_{3}^{32}$ Cu₍OAc)₂,^{22d,23} Pb(OAc)₄,^{22i,24} and TBADT $[(n-Bu_4N)_4W_{10}O_{32}]^{25}$ have attracted extensive atte[nti](#page-4-0)on. In efforts to exte[nd](#page-4-0) the transitio[n-me](#page-4-0)tal-salt-med[iated](#page-4-0) radical reactions of fullerenes, o[ur](#page-4-0) group recently investigated the reactions of C_{60} promoted by cheap and easily available Fe(ClO₄)₃. The Fe(ClO₄)₃-mediated reactions of C₆₀ with nitriles,^{26a} aldehydes/ketones,^{26b} malonate esters,^{26c} arylboronic acids,^{26d} and β-keto esters^{26e} afforded C₆₀-fused oxazoles, C_{60} -fus[ed](#page-4-0) 1,3-[d](#page-4-0)ioxolanes, C_{60} -fused disubstituted lactones, fullerenyl [bo](#page-4-0)ronic esters, a[nd](#page-4-0) C_{60} -fused hemiketal and dihydrofuran, respectively. In continuation of our interest in Fe(ClO₄)₃-mediated reactions of C₆₀, herein we describe the Fe(ClO₄)₃-mediated one-step reaction of C₆₀ with acid chlorides to afford monohydroxylated fullerenols 1,2- $C_{60}({\rm OCOR})$ (OH).²⁷

Initially, the reaction of C_{60} with 4-toluoyl chloride (1a) in the presence of $Fe(CIO₄)$ $Fe(CIO₄)$ $Fe(CIO₄)$, by employing the direct dissolution method^{26a,b} was screened to obtain the optimized reaction conditions. A mixture of $Fe(CIO₄)₃·6H₂O$ (0.15 mmol) and 1a (2.5 m[mol\)](#page-4-0) was first heated in an oil bath preset at 60 °C for 20 min to allow ferric perchlorate to dissolve in the liquid acid chloride. Then an o-dichlorobenzene (ODCB, 6 mL) solution of C_{60} (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was heated with vigorous stirring at the same temperature under nitrogen atmosphere for 25 min. Much to our satisfaction, the reaction was found to proceed well and gave fullerenol 2a in 47% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). Other reaction conditions were also examined, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Reducing the react[io](#page-1-0)n time drastically reduced the yield of 2a (Table 1, entry 2). Increasing the reaction temperature [did](#page-1-0) not improve the yield of 2a (Table 1,

Received: June 13, 2012 Published: July 17, 2012

pubs.acs.org/joc

Table 1. Reaction Conditions for the $Fe(CIO₄)₃$ -Mediated Reaction of C_{60} with 4-Toluoyl Chloride 1a^a

entry	molar ratio $[C60/FEP/1a]$ ^b	reaction temp $(^{\circ}C)$	reaction time (min)	yield of $2a^c$ (%)	recovered C_{60} (%)
1	1:3:50	60	25	47	48
2	1:3:50	60	10	19	78
3	1:3:50	80	10	47	43
$\overline{4}$	1:5:50	80	5	29	18
5	1:2:20	80	15	15	76
6	1:2:50	80	10	25	59
7	1:2:100	80	10	23	66
8	1:1:50	80	20	21	70
9	1:1:100	80	15	19	78

^aAll reactions were performed under nitrogen atmosphere by the For the set of the state of $\frac{1}{2}$ in the set of the set of $\frac{1}{2}$ in the set of $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{$ Isolated yield.

entry 3). No improvement could be achieved by varying the amount of 4-toluoyl chloride and $Fe(CIO₄)₃$ (Table 1, entries 4−9). Thus, the molar ratio of 1:3:50 for the reagents C_{60} , $Fe(CIO₄)₃$, and 1a together with the reaction temperature of 60 °C were chosen as the optimized reaction conditions.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, other representative acid chlorides such as benzoyl chloride (1b), 4 methoxybenzoyl chloride (1c), 4-chlorobenzoyl chloride (1d), 2-chlorobenzoyl chloride (1e), and cinnamoyl chloride (1f) were employed as the substrates to obtain the desired 1,2 fullerenols 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e, and 2f, respectively. The reaction conditions and yields for the $Fe(CIO₄)₃$ -mediated reaction of C_{60} with acid chlorides 1a−f under nitrogen atmosphere are listed in Table 2.

As can be seen from Table 2, aromatic acid chlorides 1a−e with both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups as well as cinnamoyl chloride 1f could be successfully utilized to prepare 1,2-fullerenols 2a−f in 17−47% isolated yields (24− 90% based on consumed C_{60}), comparable to the previously reported data for most monoadducts. It should be noted that for the Fe(ClO₄)₃-mediated reaction of C₆₀ with cinnamoyl chloride 1f, a C_{60} -fused lactone 3 (Scheme 1) was also obtained in 26% yield besides the expected 1,2-fullerenol 2f. Unfortunately, the reaction of C_{60} with 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride bearing the strong electron-withdrawing $NO₂$ group afforded mainly some unknown byproducts probably due to the higher reactivity of 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride. It should be pointed out that the use of 3 equiv of $Fe(CIO₄)₃$ for the reaction with 1e led to a significant amount of byproducts with polarity similar to C_{60} , and thus, 1 equiv of Fe(ClO₄)₃ was required to improve the yield and selectivity. The synthesized 1,2-fullerenols 2a−f can be further manipulated through esterification, etherification and arylation, as demonstrated previously by us for analogous fullerenols.²⁰

The structures of fullerenols 2a−f were fully characterized by [H](#page-3-0)R MS, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, FT-IR, and UV−vis spectra. All of the ¹H NMR spectra exhibited the expected chemical shifts as well as the splitting patterns for all protons. In the 13 C NMR spectra of $2a-f$, the peak for the C=O carbon appeared at 164.19–169.43 ppm, and the two sp³-carbons of the C₆₀

Table 2. Reaction Conditions and Yields for the Reaction of C_{60} with Acid Chlorides 1a–f in the Presence of Fe(ClO₄)₃^a

1a, 2a: R = 4-CH₃-Ph; 1b, 2b: R = Ph; 1c, 2c: $R = 4 - CH_3O - Ph$;

acid chloride 1	reaction time (min)	yield of 2^b
COCI CH ₃ 1a	25	47% (90%)
COCI 1b	10	42% (89%)
CH ₃ O cocl 1c	40	23% (41%)
COCI CI 1d	20	24% (24%)
СI COCI 1e	20 ^c	24% (67%)
COCI 1f	20	17% (35%)

^aUnless otherwise indicated, all reactions were performed at 60 $^{\circ}$ C under nitrogen atmosphere, molar ratio of $C_{60}/Fe(CIO_4)_3.6H_2O/1 =$ 1:3:50. b Isolated yield; that in parentheses was based on consumed C_{60} . CMOlar ratio of $C_{60}/Fe(ClO_4)_3.6H_2O/1e = 1:1:50.$

Scheme 1. Fe $(CIO₄)₃$ -Mediated Reaction of $C₆₀$ with Acid Chloride 1f Affording Fullerenol 2f and C_{60} -Fused Lactone 3

skeleton were located at 87.56−89.58 ppm and 83.10−85.08 ppm, close to those of other 1,2-adduct fullerene derivatives, in which the oxygen atom is connected to the C_{60} skeleton.^{18,22h−j,26c} No more than 29 peaks including some overlapped ones for the 58 sp²-carbons of the C_{60} moiety were [o](#page-3-0)[bse](#page-4-0)r[ved](#page-4-0) in the range of 135−153 ppm, consistent with the C_s symmetry of their molecular structures. As for lactone 3, its ¹H NMR spectrum displayed a singlet at 8.72 ppm for the proton connecting to the carbon−carbon double bond moiety besides those signals for the phenyl ring. In its ^{13}C NMR spectrum, there were 23 peaks including some overlapped ones in the 135–152 ppm range for the 58 sp²-carbons of the C₆₀ skeleton and two peaks at 96.63 and 64.90 ppm for the two sp^3 carbons of the C_{60} moiety, agreeing with its C_s symmetry. The IR spectrum of lactone 3 showed an absorption at 1768 cm[−]¹ due to the C=O group. Its UV-vis spectrum exhibited a peak at 416 nm. The peak at around 420 nm is a diagnostic

absorption for the 1,2-adduct of C_{60} in which the oxygen atom is directly attached to the fullerene skeleton.22h−j,26c

On the basis of the previously suggested mechanisms for the reactions of C_{60} with nitriles, 264 alde[hyd](#page-4-0)e[s/ke](#page-4-0)tones, 266 malonate esters,^{26c} arylboronic acids,^{26d} and β-keto esters^{26e} in the presence of Fe(ClO₄)₃ as we[ll as](#page-4-0) the reaction of C₆₀ [with](#page-4-0) carboxylic acids [p](#page-4-0)romoted by $Pb(OAc)₄$ $Pb(OAc)₄$ $Pb(OAc)₄$ ²²ⁱ we propos[e a](#page-4-0) possible mechanism for the formation of fullerenols 2a−f and C_{60} -fused lactone 3 from the Fe(ClO₄)₃-m[edi](#page-4-0)ated reaction of C_{60} with acid chlorides (Scheme 2). A chosen acid chloride

Scheme 2. Proposed Reaction Mechanism for the Formation of Fullerenols 2 and C_{60} -Fused Lactone 3

reacts with the hydrated H_2O in Fe(ClO₄)₃·6H₂O or concomitant water in the system to produce $Fe(III)$ complex 4 accompanied by the elimination of $HClO₄$. Addition of complex 4 to C_{60} generates fullerenyl radical 5 accompanied by the formation of $Fe(CIO₄)₂$ and HCl. Nucleophilic addition of H_2O to fullerenyl radical 5 with the loss of H^+ gives radical anion 2•[−], 20,22i followed by oxidation with another molecule of $Fe(CIO₄)$ ₃ to afford fullerenol 2. In the case of $C₆₀$ -fused lactone 3, [th](#page-3-0)[e](#page-4-0) first two steps are the same as those for fullerenol 2 to generate fullerene radical 5, which can undergo intramolecular cyclization to give radical 6. Oxidation of radical 6 by a second molecule of $Fe(CIO₄)$ ₃ results in cation 7 along with counteranion ClO_4^- and $Fe(CIO_4)_2$. Loss of H⁺ from cation 7 leads to the formation of C_{60} -fused lactone 3.

In summary, 1,2-fullerenols $C_{60}(\text{OCOR})(OH)$ have been effectively prepared via the reaction of C_{60} with acid chlorides in the presence of $Fe(CIO₄)₃$, and they can be utilized as precursors for further functionalization such as esterification, etherification and arylation. The current protocol provides facile access to 1,2-fullerenol derivatives via a one-step procedure by using cheap and easily available acid chlorides and $Fe(CIO₄)$ ₃. A possible reaction mechanism for the formation of 1,2-fullerenols 2 and C_{60} -fused lactone 3 has been suggested.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for the Fe(ClO₄)₃-Mediated Reaction of C_{60} with Acid Chlorides 1a−f. A mixture of acid chloride 1a (1b−f,

2.5 mmol) and ferric perchlorate hexahydrate (0.15 mmol, 0.05 mmol in case of 1e) was added to a 50-mL round-bottom flask, which was equipped with a reflux condenser, nitrogen inlet and outlet, and a magnetic stirrer. The mixture was heated in an oil bath preset at 60 °C for 20 min to allow ferric perchlorate to dissolve in the liquid acid chloride. Then to the flask was added the o -dichlorobenzene (6 mL) solution of C_{60} (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol). The resulting solution was heated with vigorous stirring in the oil bath at the same temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was carefully monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and stopped at the designated time. After acetic acid (1 mL) was added to the reaction solution, the resulting mixture was directly separated on a silica gel column with carbon disulfide/toluene as the eluent. Fullerenol 2a (2b−f) was obtained along with unreacted C_{60} .

Fullerenol 2a. According to the general procedure, the reaction of C_{60} (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1a (331 μ L, 2.5 mmol) and Fe(ClO₄)₃·6H₂O (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 25 min afforded first recovered C_{60} (17.1 mg, 48%) and then 2a (20.3 mg, 47%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CS_2/$ CDCl₃) δ 8.37 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.21 (s, 1H), 2.53 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CS_2/CDCl_3$ with $Cr(\text{acc})_3$ as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated) δ 169.43 (1C, C=O), 151.15, 148.59 (1C), 148.48 (1C), 147.63, 146.49 (4C), 146.20, 146.12 (4C), 145.92, 145.46, 145.18 (4C), 145.09 (1C, aryl C), 144.87, 144.84, 144.64, 144.60, 142.59 (4C), 142.46, 142.31, 142.22, 141.54, 141.50, 141.37 (4C), 139.65, 139.14, 138.57, 136.42, 130.68 (aryl C), 129.45 (aryl C), 126.52 (1C, aryl C), 89.04 (1C, sp³-C of C_{60}), 85.08 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀), 21.93 (1C); FT-IR ν /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 2921, 1702, 1611, 1432, 1274, 1179, 1091, 1035, 994, 922, 832, 748, 576, 526; UV−vis (CHCl3) λmax/nm (log ε) 256 (5.28), 318 (4.74), 416 (3.84), 685 (3.45); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for $C_{68}H_8O_3$ [M[−]] 872.0473, found 872.0472.

Fullerenol 2b. According to the general procedure, the reaction of C_{60} (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1b (290 μ L, 2.5 mmol) and Fe(ClO₄)₃·6H₂O (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 10 min afforded first recovered C_{60} (18.9 mg, 53%) and then 2b (18.2 mg, 42%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CS_2/$ DMSO- d_6) δ 8.53 (s, 1H), 8.42 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.67 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CS_2/CDCl_3$) δ 8.48 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 5.16 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CS_2/DMSO-d_6$ with $Cr(\text{acc})_3$ as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated) δ 164.91 (1C, C=O), 152.40, 148.47, 147.51 (1C), 147.45 (1C), 145.46, 145.40, 145.08 (6C), 144.72 (4C), 144.51, 144.13 (4C), 144.05 (4C), 143.75, 141.71, 141.57, 141.42, 141.29 (4C), 140.71, 140.51 (4C), 140.38, 138.35, 137.99, 137.91, 135.37, 132.25 (1C, aryl C), 129.72 (1C, aryl C), 129.52 (aryl C), 127.71 (aryl C), 87.70 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀), 83.10 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀); FT-IR ν /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 2920, 1703, 1428, 1358, 1270, 1179, 1089, 1028, 993, 703, 526; UV−vis (CHCl₃) $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$ (log ε) 256 (5.13), 318 (4.70), 417 (3.62), 685 (2.43); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for $C_{67}H_6O_3$ [M⁻] 858.0317, found 858.0313.

Fullerenol 2c. According to the general procedure, the reaction of C_{60} (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1c (427 mg, 2.5 mmol) and Fe(ClO₄)₃·6H₂O (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 40 min afforded first recovered C_{60} (15.9 mg, 44%) and then 2c (10.0 mg, 23%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CS_2/$ DMSO- d_6) δ 8.44 (s, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CS_2/DMSO-d_6$ with $Cr(\text{acac})$ ₃ as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated) δ 164.80 $(1C, C=O)$, 162.22 $(1C, \text{aryl } C)$, 152.63, 148.91, 147.66 $(1C)$, 147.65 (1C), 145.60, 145.53, 145.23 (6C), 144.90, 144.85, 144.70, 144.27 (6C), 144.18, 143.92, 141.87, 141.72, 141.57, 141.44 (4C), 140.86, 140.65 (4C), 140.36, 138.49, 138.14, 138.00, 135.55, 131.67 (aryl C), 122.02 (1C, aryl C), 113.13 (aryl C), 87.56 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀), 83.28 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀), 54.59 (1C); FT-IR ν /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 2925, 1704, 1605, 1510, 1460, 1328, 1263, 1167, 1099, 1035, 996, 840, 766, 526; UV−vis (CHCl₃) λ_{max}/nm (log ε) 256 (5.04), 318 (4.60), 417 (3.66), 685 (3.31); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for $C_{68}H_8O_4$ [M⁻] 888.0423, found 888.0422.

Fullerenol 2d. According to the general procedure, the reaction of C_{60} (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1d (318 μ L, 2.5 mmol) and Fe(ClO₄)₃·6H₂O (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 20 min afforded first a trace amount of recovered C_{60} and then 2d (10.6 mg, 24%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CS_2/$ DMSO- d_6) δ 8.63 (s, 1H), 8.41 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CS_2/DMSO-d_6$ with $Cr(\text{acc})_3$ as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated) δ 164.19 (1C, C=O), 152.33, 148.26, 147.58 (1C), 147.51 (1C), 145.53, 145.46, 145.21, 145.15 (4C), 144.77 (4C), 144.53, 144.18 (4C), 144.06 (4C), 143.79, 141.77, 141.64, 141.49, 141.34 (4C), 140.75, 140.57 (4C), 140.40, 138.67 (1C, aryl C), 138.43, 138.05, 137.98, 135.40, 131.12 (aryl C), 128.32 (1C, aryl C), 128.03 (aryl C), 87.94 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀), 83.16 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀); FT-IR ν /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 2923, 1708, 1594, 1428, 1401, 1270, 1091, 1041, 1016, 992, 847, 754, 527; UV−vis (CHCl3) $λ_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$ (log $ε)$ 256 (5.12), 317 (4.65), 416 (3.85), 685 (3.42); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for $C_{67}H_5^{35}ClO_3$ [M⁻] 891.9927, found 891.9932.

Fullerenol 2e. According to the general procedure, the reaction of C_{60} (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1e (317 μ L, 2.5 mmol) and Fe(ClO₄)₃·6H₂O (23.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) for 20 min afforded first recovered C_{60} (23.1 mg, 64%) and then 2e (10.6 mg, 24%) as an amorphous black solid: mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CS_2/$ CDCl₃) δ 8.42 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.49 (m, 3H), 5.14 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CS₂/CDCl₃ with Cr(acac)₃ as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated) δ 167.89 (1C, C=O), 150.82, 148.66 (1C), 148.56 (1C), 147.23, 146.55 (4C), 146.29, 146.20 (4C), 146.00, 145.49, 145.25 (4C), 145.00, 144.90, 144.63 (4C), 142.65 (4C), 142.53, 142.35, 142.27, 141.59 (4C), 141.38 (4C), 139.72, 139.25, 138.63, 136.54, 134.98 (1C, aryl C), 133.64 (1C, aryl C), 132.66 (1C, aryl C), 131.54 (1C, aryl C), 128.95 (1C, aryl C), 126.91 (1C, aryl C), 89.58 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀), 84.96 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀); FT-IR ν /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 2922, 1701, 1590, 1467, 1431, 1357, 1291, 1244, 1102, 1041, 988, 743, 525; UV-vis (CHCl₃) $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$ (log ε) 256 (5.04), 317 (4.60), 416 (3.75), 685 (3.36); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for $C_{67}H_5^{35}ClO_3$ [M⁻] 891.9927, found 891.9921.

Fullerenol 2f and C_{60} -Fused Lactone 3. According to the general procedure, the reaction of C_{60} (36.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) with 1f (416 mg, 2.5 mmol) and $Fe(CIO₄)₃·6H₂O$ (69.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) for 20 min afforded first recovered C_{60} (18.8 mg, 52%), then 3 (11.2 mg, 26%) and 2f (7.4 mg, 17%). 2f: amorphous black solid; mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CS_2/CDCl_3$) δ 8.17 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.69– 7.66 (m, 2H), 7.46−7.43 (m, 3H), 6.94 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CS_2/CDCl_3$ with $Cr(\text{acac})_3$ as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated) δ 169.49 (1C, C=O), 151.04, 148.46 (1C), 148.37 (1C), 147.62 (1C, CH-Ph), 147.51, 146.36 (4C), 146.07, 146.00 (4C), 145.80, 145.34, 145.06 (4C), 144.97, 144.76, 144.51 (4C), 142.48 (4C), 142.35, 142.20, 142.11, 141.42, 141.38, 141.25 (4C), 139.54, 139.02, 138.37, 136.28, 133.90 (1C, aryl C), 130.87 (1C, aryl C), 128.93 (aryl C), 128.42 (aryl C), 116.81 (1C, CH−CO), 88.74 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀), 84.97 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀); FT-IR ν/cm[−]¹ (KBr) 2920, 1696, 1632, 1447, 1432, 1329, 1311, 1269, 1202, 1169, 1147, 1101, 1035, 1013, 976, 918, 860, 755, 708, 598, 575, 526; UV–vis (CHCl₃) $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$ (log ε) 256 (4.94), 318 (4.68), 418 (3.50), 688 (2.34); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for $C_{69}H_8O_3$ [M⁻] 884.0473, found 884.0475. 3: amorphous black solid; mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CS_2/CDCl_3$) δ 8.72 (s, 1H), 7.36–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.19−7.16 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CS₂/CDCl₃ with Cr(acac)₃ as relaxation reagent) (all 2C unless indicated) δ 168.72 (1C, C=O), 151.17, 147.95 (1C), 147.42 (1C), 146.39, 146.26 (1C, CH-Ph), 145.99 (8C), 145.56, 145.48, 145.33, 145.18, 145.09, 145.03, 144.51, 144.33 (4C), 142.61 (6C), 142.14 (4C), 142.04, 141.83, 141.16, 140.98, 139.84, 138.28, 137.16, 135.17, 132.62 (1C, aryl C), 128.83 (1C, aryl C), 128.26 (aryl C), 128.15 (aryl C), 127.87 (1C, CH−CO), 96.63 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀), 64.90 (1C, sp³-C of C₆₀); FT-IR ν /cm⁻¹ (KBr) 2921, 1768, 1506, 1435, 1238, 1194, 1164, 998, 970, 967, 741, 694, 595, 575, 526; UV−vis (CHCl3) λmax/nm (log ε) 256 (4.97), 317 (4.62), 416 (3.50), 682 (2.28); MALDI FT-ICR MS m/z calcd for $C_{69}H_6O_2$ [M⁻] 866.0368, found 866.0365.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of products 2a–f and 3. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHO](http://pubs.acs.org)R INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: gwang@ustc.edu.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:gwang@ustc.edu.cn)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21132007, 20972145, 21102041), National Basic Research Program of China (2011CB921402), and Scientific Research Foundation of Education Commission of Hubei Province (Q20120113).

■ REFERENCES

(1) For selected recent reviews, see: (a) Giacalone, F.; Martín, N. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 5136. (b) Matsuo, Y.; Nakamura, E. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3016. (c) Murata, M.; Murata, Y.; Komatsu, K. Chem. Commun. 2008, 6083.

(2) For an example, see: Lu, L.-H.; Lee, Y.-T.; Chen, H.-W.; Chiang, L. Y.; Huang, H.-C. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1998, 123, 1097.

(3) Chiang, L. Y.; Upasani, R. B.; Swirczewski, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10154.

(4) (a) Chiang, L. Y.; Swirczewski, J. W.; Hsu, C. S.; Chowdhury, S. K.; Cameron, S.; Creegan, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 1791. (b) Chiang, L. Y.; Upasani, R. B.; Swirczewski, J. W.; Soled, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5453.

(5) Li, J.; Takeuchi, A.; Ozawa, M.; Li, X.; Saigo, K.; Kitazawa, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1784.

(6) (a) Chiang, L. Y.; Wang, L.-Y.; Swirczewski, J. W.; Soled, S.; Cameron, S. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3960. (b) Chen, B.-H.; Huang, J.- P.; Wang, L.-Y.; Shiea, J.; Chen, T.-L.; Chiang, L. Y. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 1171.

(7) Chiang, L. Y.; Bhonsle, J. B.; Wang, L.-Y.; Shu, S. F.; Chang, T. M.; Hwu, J. R. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 4963.

(8) Schneider, N. S.; Darwish, A. D.; Kroto, H. W.; Taylor, R.; Walton, D. R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 463.

(9) Birkett, P. R.; Avent, A. G.; Darwish, A. D.; Kroto, H. W.; Taylor, R.; Walton, D. R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 10, 1231.

(10) Al-Matar, H.; Abdul-Sada, A. K.; Avent, A. G.; Fowler, P. W.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Rogers, K. M.; Taylor, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2002, 53.

(11) Al-Matar, H.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Avent, A. G.; Taylor, R. Chem. Commun. 2000, 35, 1071.

(12) For selected examples, see: (a) Xiao, Z.; Yao, J.; Yang, D.; Wang, F.; Huang, S.; Gan, L.; Jia, Z.; Jiang, Z.; Yang, X.; Zheng, B.; Yuan, G.; Zhang, S.; Wang, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 16149. (b) Zhang, G.; Liu, Y.; Liang, D.; Gan, L.; Li, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5293.

(13) Meier, M. S.; Kiegiel, J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1717.

(14) Yoshida, M.; Morinaga, Y.; Iyoda, M.; Kikuchi, K.; Ikemodo, I.; Achiba, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7629.

(15) Irngartinger, H.; Weber, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2075.

(16) Kitagawa, T.; Sakamoto, H.; Takeuchi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4298.

(17) Tajima, Y.; Hara, T.; Honma, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Takeuchi, K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3203.

(18) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B.; Xu, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4774.

(19) Tuktarov, A. R.; Akhmetov, A. R.; Pudas, M.; Ibragimov, A. G.;

Dzhemilev, U. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 808.

(20) Wang, G.-W.; Lu, Y.-M.; Chen, Z.-X. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1507.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

(21) For reviews, see: (a) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B. J. Nanosci. Nanotech. 2007, 7, 1162. (b) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B. Curr. Org. Chem. 2012, 16, 1109.

(22) (a) Zhang, T.-H.; Lu, P.; Wang, F.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 4403. (b) Wang, G.-W.; Zhang, T.-H.; Cheng, X.; Wang, F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 1160. (c) Li, C.; Zhang, D.; Zhang, X.; Wu, S.; Gao, X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 3464. (d) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 794. (e) Chen, Z.-X.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2380. (f) Cheng, X.; Wang, G.-W.; Murata, Y.; Komatsu, K. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2005, 16, 1327. (g) Wang, G.-W.; Yang, H.-T.; Miao, C.-B.; Xu, Y.; Liu, F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2595. (h) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B.; Zhang, T.-H. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1355. (i) Li, F.-B.; Liu, T.-X.; Huang, Y.-S.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 7743. (j) Li, F.-B.; Zhu, S.-E; Wang, G.-W. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2010, 55, 2909. (k) Wang, G.-W.; Wang, C.-Z.; Zhu, S.- E; Murata, Y. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6111. (l) Wang, G.-W.; Wang, C.-Z.; Zou, J.-P. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6088. (m) Liu, T.-X.; Li, F.-B.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6130.

(23) Lu, S.; Jin, T.; Kwon, E.; Bao, M.; Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2012, 51, 802.

(24) Chuang, S.-C.; Clemente, F. R.; Khan, S. I.; Houk, K. N.; Rubin, Y. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4525.

(25) (a) Tzirakis, M. D.; Orfanopoulos, M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 873. (b) Tzirakis, M. D.; Orfanopoulos, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4063.

(26) (a) Li, F.-B.; Liu, T.-X.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6417. (b) Li, F.-B.; Liu, T.-X.; You, X.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3258. (c) Li, F.-B.; You, X.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4896. (d) Li, F.-B.; You, X.; Liu, T.-X.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1800. (e) Li, F.-B.; Zhu, S.-E; You, X.; Wang, G.-W. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2012, 57, 2269.

(27) After our work had been completed, independent work on the FeCl₃-mediated synthesis of fullerenyl esters was published; see: Hashiguchi, M.; Obata, N.; Maruyama, M.; Yeo, K. S.; Ueno, T.; Ikebe, T.; Takahashi, I.; Matsuo, Y. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3276.